IN THE UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT RITZHEIMER 2304 Brownsville Rd, Trlr H18 Langhorne, PA 19053	: CIVIL ACTION : NO.:
Plaintiff,	:
v.	:
FOLEY CAT, INC.	: : : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
2975 Galloway Rd. Bensalem PA, 19020	: JUNY IRIAL DEMANDED :
Defendant.	: :

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Robert W. Ritzheimer (*hereinafter* referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by Foley CAT, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA" – 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 *et seq.*) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws.

¹ Plaintiff's claims under the PHRA are referenced herein for notice purposes. Plaintiff intends to amend his complaint to include claims pending before the Pennsylvania Human Relation Commission ("PHRC") once such claims are fully and administratively exhausted. Plaintiff's PHRA claims will identically mirror his ADEA claims asserted herein.

- 3. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's future state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as his federal claims asserted herein.
- 4. This Court may properly assert personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945), and its progeny.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff is proceeding herein under ADEA and has properly exhausted his administrative remedies with respect to such claims by timely filing a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a notice of dismissal and/or right to sue letter from the EEOC.

PARTIES

- 7. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 8. Plaintiff is an adult individual with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 9. Defendant is a corporation with a location at the above-captioned address that offers sales, service, rentals and used equipment for construction, industrial and marine applications.
- 10. At all relevant times herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for the Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 11. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 12. Plaintiff is a 66-year-old man.
- 13. Plaintiff was hired by Giles & Ransome, Inc. (hereinafter "Giles & Ransome") in or about August of 2002.
 - 14. Defendant acquired Giles & Ransome in or about February of 2018.
- 15. At the time of the aforementioned acquisition, Defendant retained Plaintiff as an employee.
- 16. While working for Defendant, Plaintiff was employed as a Parts Handler until he was unlawfully terminated on or about August 1, 2024, for reasons discussed *infra*.
- 17. As a Parts Handler, Plaintiff's responsibilities included gathering parts and fulfilling orders for customers, mechanics, and Defendant's other locations.
- 18. In addition to his Parts Handler duties, Plaintiff was also tasked with extraneous and time-consuming responsibilities, such as transporting supplies via forklift to other buildings on Defendant's large campus, emptying large trash and recycling receptacles inside and outside of Defendant's office building, counting inventory, and ensuring all of Defendant's printers on campus were stocked with paper.
- 19. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was supervised by Manager, James Desimone (hereinafter "Desimone" 65 years old).
- 20. During his over twenty (20) year tenure working for Giles & Ransome, and subsequently Defendant, Plaintiff was a dedicated and hardworking employee that consistently received positive performance evaluations.

- 21. On or about August 1, 2024, shortly after arriving for his shift, a Shop Steward, Tim Kelly, blindsided Plaintiff by informing him that he was being laid off and escorted Plaintiff to Manager, Matthew Goldstein's (hereinafter "Goldstein") office to discuss his layoff.
- 22. Thereafter, Plaintiff met with Goldstein and Executive Vice President, Angela Martin-Moushon (hereinafter "Martin-Moushon").
- 23. During this meeting, Martin-Moushon informed Plaintiff that Defendant was downsizing, and that Plaintiff was chosen for layoff based on alleged poor performance in comparison to other Parts Handlers.
- 24. Specifically, Martin-Moushon informed Plaintiff that he was fulfilling less orders than other employees.
- 25. Martin-Moushon's assessment of Plaintiff's performance was based on a report provided by a scanner/gun tool that Parts Handlers used throughout shifts to track order fulfillment.
- 26. However, the scanner/gun only accounts for order fulfillment and not all the other tasks Plaintiff was expected to complete outside of his job description, which other Parts Handlers were not expected required to do.
 - 27. As a result, Plaintiff explained to Goldstein and Martin-Moushon that:
 - compared to younger and less tenured employees, he was expected to do
 more time consuming and extraneous tasks, in addition to the usual Parts
 Handler duties, which interfered with his ability to fulfill as many orders as
 others and which management had been aware of for years;
 - ii. throughout Plaintiff's tenure, he was instructed by Defendant's management to continue completing non-Parts Handler tasks, even though he was not fulfilling as many orders as other Parts Handlers; and

- iii. he had never been informed that his performance was not satisfactory, never received a write up related to his alleged poor performance, and received consistent positive performance evaluations.
- 28. Despite the foregoing, Martin-Moushon provided Plaintiff with one (1) week of severance pay and informed him that the decision to lay him off still stood.
- 29. Defendant's reasoning for Plaintiff's termination was pretextual and was clearly meant to disguise the true discriminatory reason for terminating him (i.e. his advanced age).
- 30. In addition to the foregoing, at the time of Plaintiff's aforesaid termination, he was the oldest non-managerial employee within Defendant.
- 31. Plaintiff also learned that his supervisor, Desimone, was laid off for purported financial reasons.
- 32. However, upon information and belief, Desimone has since been replaced with a new and younger hire that is in his mid to late thirties.
- 33. Additionally, on the date of Plaintiff's termination, another older employee in his department, Calvin (last name unknown, approximately in his late fifties), was also laid off as part of the alleged reduction in force ("RIF").
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant retained younger, less senior, and less experienced employees within Plaintiff's department, who performed the same or similar work as Plaintiff, but chose to target and terminate Plaintiff because of his advanced age.

COUNT I <u>Violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA")</u> (Age Discrimination)

- 35. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 36. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated from his employment with Defendant because of his age.
 - 37. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful age discrimination under the ADEA.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:
- A. Defendant is to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting discrimination in the future against any employee(s);
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement and seniority;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated damages as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress, pain, suffering and humiliation under the PHRA);
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law; and
 - F. Plaintiff is to be given a jury trial as demanded in the caption of this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 8 Interplex Drive, Suite 210 Feasterville-Trevose, PA 19053 (215) 639-0801

akarpf@karpf-law.com

Dated: June 30, 2025

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
215-639-0801	215-639-4970	akarpf@karpf-law.com				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	_			
6/30/2025	-1	Plaintiff				
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do not fall into ar	y one of the other tracks.	(x)			
		al or intense management by	()			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvir exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury	y or property damage from	()			
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny	questing review of a decision		()			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases bi	ought under 28 U.S.C. § 22	241 through § 2255.	()			
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (Something that a defendant does not all, with its first appearance ies, a Case Management Track	Reduction Plan of this court, counse nation Form in all civil cases at the time ee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the remot agree with the plaintiff regarding e, submit to the clerk of court and servack Designation Form specifying the ned.	ne of verse said ve on			
Foley Cat, Inc.	:	NO.				
V.	: :					
Robert Ritzheimer	: :	CIVIL ACTION				

MKC Document 1 Filed 06/30/25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 2:25-cv-03325-MKC Page 9 of 10

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

Place of Accident, Incident, or Transaction: Defendants place of business

RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: Judge:	_
1. Does this case involve property included in an earlier numbered suit?	╛┃
2. Does this case involve a transaction or occurrence which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit?	\rfloor
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit? Yes]
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus petition, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same individual?	_
5. Is this case related to an earlier numbered suit even though none of the above categories apply? Yes If yes, attach an explanation.]
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the within case \square is $/ \times$ is not related to any pending or previously terminated action in this court.	
Civil Litigation Categories	
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts) 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Copyright/Trademark 8. Employment 8. Employment 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) 9. Labor-Management Relations 10. Civil Rights 11. Habeas Corpus 12. Securities Cases 13. Social Security Review Cases 14. Qui Tam Cases 15. Cases Seeking Systemic Relief *see certification below* 16. All Other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify): 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury 7. Products Liability 7. Products Liability 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) 16. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) 17. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) 18. All Other Diversity	-
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (CHECK ONLY ONE BOX BELOW)	$\overline{}$
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief: X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2(3), this case is not eligible for arbitration either because (1) it seeks relief other than money damages; (2) the money damages sought are in excess of \$150,000 exclusive of interest and costs; (3) it is a social security case, includes a prisoner as a party, or alleges violation of a right secured by the U.S. Constitution, or (4) jurisdiction is based in whole or in part on 28 U.S.C. § 1343. None of the restrictions in Local Civil Rule 53.2 apply and this case is eligible for arbitration. NOTE: A trial de novo will be by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

Case 2:25-cv-03325-MkGVIP certified $_{SHF}$ Page 10 of 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

l. (a) PLAINTIFFS RITZHEIMER, ROBERT			DEFENDANTS							
			FOLEY CAT, INC.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numhe	r)		Attorneys (If Known		OF LAND INVOLVED.				
Ari R. Karpf, Esq.; Karpf, Karpf Feasterville-Trevose, PA 19053;		, ,								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	ΓIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only n of This State) PTF DEF 1 1 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		Defendant) PTF 4	DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 2	Incorporated <i>and</i> F of Business In A		<u> </u>	<u></u>	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	<u> </u>	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					_	e for: Nature of S		•		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TO PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY		FREITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure		peal 28 USC 158	375 False 0	Claims Act		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting X 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - mployment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	71	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	28	thdrawal USC 157 ELLECTUAL ERTY RIGHTS pyrights tent - Abbreviated w Drug Application ademark fend Trade Secrets t of 2016 AL SECURITY A (1395ff) ack Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) ID Title XVI I (405(g)) EAL TAX SUITS axes (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) S—Third Party 6 USC 7609	480 Consu (15 Us) 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/ 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedo Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re Agenc 950 Consti	a)) Reapportior sst and Bankin terce tation teer Influen to Organiza more Consu tion Act Sat TV ties/Comm ng Statutory A slitural Acts commental M com of Infor	nment ng need and tions 1 1692) umer todities/ Actions 6 fatters mation rocedure ppeal of	
∏ 1 Original	moved from 3	Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened Anoth		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -	
M. CAHOE OF ACTIO	ADEA (29USC6)	itute under which you ar 21)	e filing (L	o not cite jurisdictional st	tatutes unless d	liversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ibrief describition of ca	the ADEA and t	the PH	RA.						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.) Di	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:	_	n complai No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	KET NUMBER				
DATE 6/30/2025	<u></u>	SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- Programme of the second of t	(/								
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE			